

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,214	10/15/2003	Ivan Osorio	011738.00137	7258	
22908 7:	590 03/09/2006	EXAMINER			
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			MANUEL, GEORGE C		
TEN SOUTH V SUITE 3000	WACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60606		3762		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/688,21	4	OSORIO ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		George Ma	nuel	3762	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicationr Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the day patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ever on. period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this commun 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is no llowance except t	or formal matters, pro		rits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				,
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicati</b> 9) □	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction a con Papers  The specification is objected to by the Example of Example 1 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	and/or election reaminer.  accepted or b)[ to the drawing(s) be	quirement. ☐ objected to by the Be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c				
Priority u 12)□ . a)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the sinder 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copi	oreign priority und ments have beer ments have beer e priority docume sureau (PCT Rule	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) received received in Application that have been received 17.2(a)).	o-(d) or (f).  on No  ed in this National Stag	
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date 11/23/04, 4/26/04, 5/11/05		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Badura et al '234.

Badura et al disclose an ion beam therapy system with redundancy means for ensuring treatment therapy is turned off. The beam guidance is checked by using redundancy means for a redundant termination of extraction and their functionality is checked and checking of the ability of beam guidance dipoles in the beam guidance to connect and disconnect is carried out, wherein after an unsuccessful termination request and establishment of an ion beam, a renewed termination request is requested via a separate redundant channel and for independence from a control of an acceleration device, a special cable connection to a last dipole of the beam guidance upstream from a treatment site is provided to a power supply unit, so that a connection

of this dipole can be effected only from a therapy supervisory control room via a special signal, wherein a check of connections and terminals of the therapy supervisory control room to the last dipole of the beam guidance and to the redundant channel for an additional termination of extraction is carried out prior to each block of irradiation procedures.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use a timer for timing the interval between the first termination request and the second redundant request because ion beam therapy may comprise residual particle counts. Badura et al suggests that high particle counts should trigger an alarm for switching off the beam and that particle count may vary. See col. 11, lines 31-59.

Regarding claim 4, the examiner is interpreting the disclosed medical electron accelerator disclosed in Badura et al to comprise electrical stimulation treatment therapy.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 9, 12 and 19-22, Badura et al teach loading computer programs and data sets into the control computer of the ion beam therapy system and checking for accurate loading in order to be able to correctly load data required for the irradiation of a patient into the sequence control of the system. Irradiation may commence for only correctly loaded data. Special programs in the server computers allow the supervisory control system to check that programs and data are written into the individual processors of the control computer and read back and compared with the programs and data stored in the individual memories. One of ordinary skill in the art

would have found it obvious to modify the computer executable instruction to further time the interval between termination requests as discussed above for the reasons set forth above because Baura et al teach the readiness for operation of all computer programs and a possible emergency shutdown or release of an irradiation procedure by the medical operating console of the therapy system may be computer controlled.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strul et al '681.

Strul et al disclose software controlled limits for temperature, power, and impedance (that turn off power if exceeded), there are also redundant hardware controls, including comparators 90, 96, that turn off power if the maximum temperature or power is exceeded. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide a timer for initiating the redundant hardware controls because temperature, power, and impedance have residual energy capacities that diminish with time to allow for a more accurate determination of whether they have been exceeded.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

George Manuel Primary Examiner